UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.  | FILING DATE  | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|--|--------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/619,756   | 07/15/2003   | Hagen Eck            | 09282.0049-00       | 7390             |
| 60668 7590 04/21/2008<br>SAP / FINNEGAN, HENDERSON LLP |              |                      | EXAMINER            |                  |
| 901 NEW YOR  | K AVENUE, NW |                      | SAADAT, CAMERON     |                  |
| WASHINGTON, DC 20001-4413                              |              |                      | ART UNIT            | PAPER NUMBER     |
|  |              |                      | 3714                |                  |
|  |              |                      | MAIL DATE           | DELIVERY MODE    |
|  |              |                      | 04/21/2008          | PAPER            |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

|  | Application No.   | Applicant(s) |  |  |  |
|--|---|--------------|--|--|--|
| Interview Summary  | 10/619,756  | ECK ET AL.   |  |  |  |
| interview Summary  | Examiner  | Art Unit     |  |  |  |
|  | CAMERON SAADAT  | 3714         |  |  |  |
| All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):   |   |              |  |  |  |
| (1) <u>Cameron Saadat</u> .  | (3)   |              |  |  |  |
| (2) <u>Fahd Patel</u> .  | (4)   |              |  |  |  |
| Date of Interview: <u>12 April 2008</u> .  |   |              |  |  |  |
| Type: a)⊠ Telephonic b)□ Video Conference c)□ Personal [copy given to: 1)□ applicant 2)□ applicant's representative]   |   |              |  |  |  |
| Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No. If Yes, brief description:   |   |              |  |  |  |
| Claim(s) discussed: <u>38</u> .  |   |              |  |  |  |
| Identification of prior art discussed: <u>Corn et al.</u> .  |   |              |  |  |  |
| Agreement with respect to the claims f)☐ was reached. g  | )∏ was not reached. h)⊠ N   | I/A.         |  |  |  |
| Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicant's representative emphasized that Corn does not disclose the claimed external system. The examiner disagreed and indicated that further consideration would be given upon receiving applicant's response to the office action. No agreement was reached on patentability.  (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)  THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet. |   |              |  |  |  |
|  | /Cameron Saadat/<br>Primary Examiner, Art Unit 37<br>Examiner's signature, if requi |              |  |  |  |